	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/622,599	BALLARD, WILLARD	E. ~
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ghassem Alie	3724	. "
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due co	d ourse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>09/14/04</u> .			4.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 2-9 and 11-18.			· "
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>14 September 2004</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a linear priority	been received. been received in Application No		on from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application.	complying with the requ	uirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NO ation is deficient.	OTICE OF _
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the second process.	on's Patent Drawing Review(PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the C .84(c)) should be written on the drawi	Office action of	back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	must be submitted. N	ote the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	5. Notice of Informal F 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. Examiner's Amend 8. Examiner's Statem 9. Other	(PTO-413), tte ment/Comment	^
·			20 E

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, lines 5 and 6,

"wherein mounting structure is provided" has been replaced by --wherein a mounting structure is provided--.

In claim 12, line 4,

"a said flange is slidable." has been replaced by --said flange is slidable.--.

Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. The claims are allowable because the prior art fails to teach that the clamping releaseably affix the platform structure to the opposing edge portions of the supporting structure at a prescribed height thereabove and at a prescribed angle with respect to the supporting structure as set forth in claims 11 and 15. The prior art also fails to teach that the combination of the conventional angle setter and the prescribed angle adjustment structure of the guide device allows an angle cut up to 80 degrees from the vertical as set forth in claim 11.

Regarding claims 11 and 15, Markropoulos (2003/0233926) teaches a combination of a cutting guide device and a conventional type hand held circular saw including a base having a planar slide surface and a back edge and a disk shaped cutting blade mounted on a drive

shaft of an electric motor. Markropoulos also teaches a mounting structure is provided on a saw motor for pivotally mounting the motor on the base for angular adjustment with respect to the base to orient the cutting plane with respect to the slide surface at an angle of between 90 to 45 degrees. Markropoulos also teaches a second guide shoulder means aligned substantially parallel to the cutting plane and having a portion extending below the slide surface. Markropoulos also teaches a platform structure having a top portion providing a substantially planar top surface on which the slide surface can be rest. Markropoulos also teaches that the platform includes first guide shoulder means on the platform means and a pair of flanges affixed to and extending downwardly from the top portion of the platform. Markropoulos also teaches a clamping mechanism on the device adapted to relaseably affix the platform structure to opposing edge portions of the supporting structure. However, Markropoulos fails to teach that the clamping releaseably affix the platform structure to the opposing edge portions of the supporting structure at a prescribed height thereabove and at a prescribed angle with respect to the supporting structure as set forth in claims 11 and 15. Markropoulos also fails to teach that the combination of the conventional angle setter and the prescribed angle adjustment structure of the guide device allows an angle cut up to 80 degrees from the vertical as set forth in claim 11.

Greco (3,344,824) teaches a claming mechanism releaseably affix a platform structure to opposing edge portions of a supporting structure at a prescribed height.

Mecsey (4,355,557) or Brickner et al. (4,452,117) teaches a clamping mechanism releaseably affix a platform structure to opposing edge portions of a supporting structure at a prescribed angle.

However, Markroplous's guide device cannot be structurally combined with clamping device of Greco, Mecsey, or Brickner et al. and it would have not been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Markropouls's guide device with the claming devices as taught by Greco, Mecsey, or Brickner et al., since they are structurally not combinable and combinations of these structures would not be possible without hindsight from applicant's disclosure.

None of these references by themselves or in combination with the other prior art cited teach the claimed invention set forth in claims 11 and 15.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4501.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9302 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

GA/ga

December 1, 2004

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700